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Abstract:

Objectives:

This study aimed to identify escape of small particle aerosols from a variety of masks using simulated breathing conditions. This study also aimed to evaluate the efficacy of a negative pressure environment around the face in preventing the escape of small aerosolized particles.

Study Design:

This study is an evaluation study with specific methodology described below.

Setting:

This study was performed in our institution’s fresh tissue laboratory.

Subjects and Methods:

A fixed cadaver head was placed in a controlled environment with a black background and small particle aerosols were created using joss incense sticks (mass-median aerosol diameter of 0.28µ). Smoke was passed through the cadaver head and images were taken with a high resolution camera in a standardized manner. Digital image processing was utilized to calculate relative amounts of small particle escape from a variety of masks including a standard surgical mask, a modified Ambu® mask, and our negative airway-pressure respirator (NAPR).

Results:

Significant amounts of aerosolized particles escaped during the trials with no mask, a standard surgical mask, and the NAPR without suction. When suction was applied to the NAPR creating a negative pressure system no particle escape was noted.
Conclusion:

We present a new and effective method for the study of small particle aerosols as a step toward better understanding the spread of these particles and the transmission of COVID-19. We also present the concept of a NAPR in order to better protect healthcare workers from aerosols generated from the upper and lower airways.
Introduction

At the time of the writing this manuscript, we are in the midst of a global pandemic the scale of which has not been seen in over a century\(^1\). The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has, in a matter of weeks, changed the way we practice medicine and conduct our daily lives\(^2\). Hospitals around the nation are putting elective surgical procedures on hold in order to preserve personal protective equipment (PPE), keep ventilators available, and reduce the risk of transmission of COVID-19 to both patients and healthcare workers\(^3\). Protecting healthcare workers while still performing the procedures our patients require has never been more important. In light of this, several studies and articles have recently been published attempting to quantify the amount of droplet and particulate matter generated by speech and sinonasal surgical procedures\(^4\,^5\).

There have been some valid concerns that the models published thus far do not evaluate the smallest aerosolized particles capable of transmitting COVID-19. The World Health Organization (WHO) has recognized that while standard transmission of COVID-19 is through droplets which are on the order of 5-20µ, under some circumstances the virus can be transmitted through an airborne mechanism, especially if procedures are being performed on the airway\(^6\). This manuscript serves as the first description of a method to visualize particles smaller than 5µ and shows the utility of the testing method in evaluating a novel negative pressure mask system which may help protect healthcare providers in a variety of situations.

Materials and Methods

IRB approval was obtained from the Thomas Jefferson University institutional review board. In order to generate a visible small particle aerosol, joss incense sticks were used. The
smoke generated from this type of incense has been shown to have a mass-median aerosol
diameter of 0.28µ and is white-colored. Incense was burned in a collection vessel until it was
filled with smoke. Smoke was then siphoned from a valve at the top of the collection vessel
using a modified Ambu® bag and the process was repeated for each trial.

A fixed cadaver head was placed in a controlled environment with a black background.
Care was taken to minimize any extraneous light contamination. A size 8.0 endotracheal tube
was then inserted into the trachea from below and the cuff was inflated. The smoke-filled
modified Ambu® bag was attached to the endotracheal tube and the contents of the bag were
emptied over three seconds. This method was used to test several different scenarios: a cadaver
with no mask (Figure 1), with a standard surgical mask (Figure 2), with a modified Ambu®
anesthesia mask (Figure 3), and with our novel mask – an Ambu® mask fitted with suction
tubing attached to a HEPA filtration system - which we have named a negative-airway pressure
respirator or “NAPR” (Figure 4). A digital camera with 18 megapixel resolution was used to
capture the smoke escape from the cadaver generating 36 images over each 3 second run. Using
Adobe Photoshop® v.20 (Adobe Inc., San Jose, CA), a thresholding filter was applied to the first
image in each run down to the noise floor (Figure 1b). A thresholding filter was then applied at
the same level to the photo two-thirds of the way through each run (Figure 1c). Subtraction
images were then generated by subtracting the processed first picture of each run to the
processed picture 2/3rd of the way through the run. A white pixel count was then performed to
attempt to quantify the amount of smoke present in the field.

The pictures generated by this method contained 1.8x10^7 pixels. Given the size of the
field of view each pixel represented an area on the order of 1*10^-5 cm^2 or 1000µ^2. Using
thresholding, an environment with carefully controlled light, a rapid frame rate, and a stable
setup we were able to generate subtraction images which could identify exposure value
difference ratios down to 1.08 which correlates to a 0.8% light emittance difference at the
camera’s detector. Assuming the particles block light, this translates to the ability to detect
particles down to a size of approximately 8µ².

The specialized NAPR was designed by taking a standard Ambu® mask, drilling a 9mm
hole in the plastic near the bottom of the mask, and inserting 10mm diameter suction tubing
through the new aperture (figure 5). This mask was used to test the effect of a negative pressure
environment on the spread of aerosols using a pressure of negative 120mmHg.

Results:

The first trial was performed without any mask on the cadaver. It was clear that without a
mask a large amount aerosolized particles were released. (Figure 1). After thresholding and
subtracting the image 2/3rds of the way through the run with the first image of the run, 27,486
white pixels were detected (Figure 1D). When a standard surgical mask was added, the number
of white pixels detected with this method was reduced to 21,379, but there were still aerosolized
particles being released mainly from the top and sides of the mask (Figure 2). A trial was
performed using the NAPR without any suction attached and 3,835 white pixels were detected
(Figure 3). A trial performed after applying suction to the NAPR revealed 88 white pixels, which
was due to background noise from a small amount of movement between the first photo and the
photo used for analysis (Figure 4). This tiny amount of noise was present in all of the runs, but
was calculated to be <1% of the overall pixel count in each analysis. Trials were also performed
in which suction was initiated half-way through the run, and it was noted that once suction was
initiated, no additional particles escaped and particles seemed to regress from beyond the mask
back into the negative pressure environment. The amount of regression and escape were difficult
to quantify due to motion artifact from connecting the suction tubing midway through the run and not having a consistent benchmark image with which to compare the first image. Trials were also performed using a drill and endoscope in the nose; while no detectable particle escape was noted, it was somewhat difficult to quantify given the motion artifact on the photos from the endoscope and drilling (Figure 5).

Discussion:

Here we present a method to test for the escape of small aerosolized particles from a patient’s airway as well as a novel negative pressure mask concept. Based on our model, the NAPR mask seems to be protective against aerosol spread even in the scenario in which a patient is forcefully exhaling while an airway procedure is being performed. There have been multiple recent reports describing the risks of endonasal procedures with regard to transmission of COVID-19\(^8\). Several interesting models have been recently published. Workman, et al published a method using atomized fluorescein introduced into the nasal cavity through a defect in the cribiform\(^4\). This interesting and timely article was primarily aimed at detecting large particles on the floor after endonasal procedures. Our work complements this by evaluating small and medium sized particles in an environment close to the patient’s face. In the Workman paper, a mask was also proposed that limited the spread of large, fluorescein coated tissue particles. In our study we show that even with a surgical mask, small aerosolized particles can still escape if a patient is exhaling. Clearly, this would not be the case if the patient was intubated for surgery unless there was a cuff leak or endotracheal tube migration. However, when performing procedures on the upper and lower airways on an awake patient or when generating turbulent airflow in the airways of an intubated patient, small particle aerosols can potentially be generated.
and these were not able to be examined by methodology in the Workman paper. For these reasons we believe our findings to be germane to their results.

Similar to Workman, Anfinrud et al showed the patterns of droplet particle spread during speech in a recently published letter with accompanying video\(^5\). By using a laser sheet and an iPhone, they were able to show that a surgical mask is able to contain most large droplet particles during speech. This is a useful experiment and certainly is applicable to everyday community transmission of COVID-19. However, Meselson’s comments on the article mentioned that this model may not be able to adequately capture smaller droplets and particles such as are present with COVID-19\(^9\). While we are still learning more about this virus and the different methods by which it can transmitted, the W.H.O. has put out guidelines cautioning that although COVID-19 is generally assumed to be transmitted via droplets, it can become airborne in circumstances including airway manipulation and smaller particles need to be considered infective\(^6\). There are also now multiple independent reports postulating that COVID-19 can indeed be spread through an airborne route\(^10,11\).

It is our belief that a local negative pressure environment around the patient’s nose and mouth will be instrumental in minimizing the risk associated with procedures of the upper and lower airways. Based on our model, the NAPR was extremely effective at eliminating escape of small aerosolized particles even with simulated forced exhalation. We were able to adequately work in the nose given the constraints of the mask, but it could easily be modified further to facilitate other oral, laryngeal, or endonasal procedures, and other mask modifications are forthcoming (figure 5). Given the nature of the current pandemic, using this mask on a patient while performing bronchoscopy could be a timely and helpful innovation.
This study has some limitations. Movements of the setup during the three-second exhalation create some noise which can affect analyzing the images, analyzing a 2-dimensional picture of a three-dimensional reality always has certain limitations, and it is somewhat difficult to get each run perfect so that this method becomes very accurate quantitatively. Despite some inherent drawbacks, however, we feel that this is an excellent first step in measuring small particle escape. Our model also represents a useful and effective method to test protective equipment. We also feel that the concept of a NAPR, irrespective of design, could potentially have far-reaching applications in the medical field. Future directions include refining our image gathering and processing techniques, testing additional mask prototypes, and trials in live subjects.

Conclusions:

We present a new and effective method for the study of small particle aerosols as a step toward better understanding the spread of these particles and the transmission of COVID-19. We also present the concept of a novel negative airway-pressure respirator (NAPR) in order to better protect healthcare workers.


Figure 1: Trial without mask

Figure 1 shows the trial of a cadaver without a mask. A: The first photo in the run without thresholding. B: The first photo in the run after thresholding was performed. C: This is the image two seconds into the run. A considerable amount of smoke can be seen emanating from the cadaver’s nose, mouth, and over the cadaver’s chin. D. This image was generated by subtracting image B from image C.

Figure 2: Standard Surgical Mask Trial

Figure 2 shows the trial of a cadaver with a standard surgical mask. A: The first photo in the run without thresholding. B: The first photo in the run after thresholding was performed. C: This is the image two seconds into the run. Smoke was noted to escape from the top and sides of the mask. D. This image was generated by subtracting image B from image C.

Figure 3: NAPR with no suction

Figure 3 shows the trial using the NAPR, but with suction turned off. A: The first photo in the run without thresholding. B: The first photo in the run after thresholding was performed. C: This is the image two seconds into the run. Smoke escaped from the aperture in the middle of the mask. D. This image was generated by subtracting image B from image C.

Figure 4: Trial with the NAPR

Figure 4 shows the trial using the NAPR with the suction at -120mmHg A: The first photo in the run without thresholding. B: The first photo in the run after thresholding was performed. C: This is the image two seconds into the run. No smoke was able to escape. D. This image was generated by subtracting image B from image C.

Figure 5: Working through the NAPR

Figure 5: Design and application of the first design for the NAPR. A-B: These images show how a simple modification of an Ambu® mask can create a negative pressure environment to help protect healthcare workers when applied to a patient. C-D: These images show working through the NAPR with no noted aerosol escape.
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Trial with NAPR without suction
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