1 Navigating the Ethics of COVID-19 in Otolaryngology

2 Andrew G. Shuman, MD FACS^{1,2} 3 4 5 ¹ Center for Bioethics and Social Sciences in Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School 6 7 ² Department of Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery, University of Michigan Medical 8 9 School 10 11 Contact Information: 12 Andrew G. Shuman, MD FACS 13 1904 Taubman Center 14 15 1500 E Medical Center Drive Ann Arbor MI 48109-5312 16 Office: 734 232 0120 Cell: 734 834 6930 17 shumana@med.umich.edu 18 19 The author declares no conflict of interest or funding sources. The contents of this original 20 manuscript have not been presented or submitted elsewhere. 21

22 Conceived, drafted, edited and approved final manuscript, and takes full responsibility for its

23 content

24 Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has dramatically altered how otolaryngologists contemplate and
assume their roles in health care delivery. The ethical implications of this pandemic upon our
practice are formidable, and distinct from other surgical fields. The salient ethical issues of
public health stewardship and safety, distributive justice and non-abandonment are distilled for
the practicing otolaryngologist.

30

The COVID-19 pandemic has undermined every aspect of medical care virtually 31 overnight.¹ Early publications underscore the overwhelming acuity and volume of patients who 32 will require treatment, and how this will strain systems with insufficient resources to absorb 33 these incremental needs.^{2,3} Issues related to resource utilization, exposure risk, and unique 34 implications of the procedures we perform have essentially overridden many basic elements of 35 what otolaryngologists have taken for granted in daily practice. The safety precautions and other 36 downstream effects on our specialty have been well described, and undoubtedly will be further 37 explored in the emerging literature as our collective experience matures.⁴ Herein, the ethical 38 morass that COVID-19 creates will be examined for those grappling with its daily reality. 39 In general, tenets of medical ethics for practicing otolaryngologists have focused on the 40 primacy of choices and actions involving individual patients and the singular doctor-patient 41 relationship. These patient-centered principles significantly differ from concepts of public health 42 ethics, which are by necessity population-, rather than individual- driven.⁵ The overwhelming 43 epidemiological need to "flatten the curve" and limit the spread of COVID-19 across 44 communities requires steps that would otherwise not be taken due to risk of harm to or 45 disenfranchisement of individuals. For example, in the current pandemic, any otolaryngologic 46 evaluation or treatment that risks aerosolizing virions must be limited, modified, or ideally 47 delayed.⁶ Likewise, while individual rationing decisions rarely play a role in day-to-day care 48 49 provided by otolaryngologists, concern for available medical supplies, personal protective 50 equipment (PPE), and hospital beds requires us to allocate in a way that is uncommon in the developed world. As a result, many otolaryngologists are facing the prospect of anxiously 51 52 waiting until they can resume any semblance of their daily routine.

3

The concept of delaying or refusing medically necessary care is anathema to dedicated 53 clinicians. We pride ourselves on assuming supererogatory responsibilities in times of crisis.⁷ 54 But the COVID-19 era has created a situation in which expedited and evidence-based care, even 55 for urgent conditions such as airway stenosis and head and neck cancer, may be all but 56 impossible. While part of this relates to resource allocation, it also involves protection of our 57 58 patients. Clinical exposure that may increase iatrogenic contraction of the virus is even more concerning when realizing that high risk patients are even more vulnerable to poorer outcomes.⁸ 59 Likewise, head and neck cancer treatment will not only potentially expose patients, but treatment 60 effects may also make them frailer and more vulnerable, even though delaying cancer treatment 61 carries its own risks. These dilemmas create impossible choices and tradeoffs. 62 Our inability to optimally perform our work is not an excuse to remain idle. Patients in 63 need and those scheduled for upcoming procedures and appointments can and should be 64 contacted remotely in order to provide guidance and surveillance. We can convey a great deal of 65

reassurance and support without face-to-face interactions. In addition, we have an obligation to
provide care and avoid perceived or real senses of abandonment on the part of our patients, and
thus triage urgent/emergent situations to limited available resources is still needed. In addition,

69 depending upon workforce constraints, otolaryngologists may be asked to assume other roles in

70 healthcare delivery during the pandemic, from contributing ambulatory surgical

ventilators/supplies, to working in other clinical units, to donating blood. Alacrity to help in
unconventional means has historically been a strength of our profession, and the time is nigh to
meet it head-on.

Another aspect of compassionate care provision involves addressing the psychosocial
well-being of our patients, both for those who have COVID-19 and those who do not. Clinicians

4

will need to validate these concerns, and shepherd local and national resources that may be 76 helpful, even if we feel unequipped to provide sufficient support ourselves.⁹ The emotional 77 burden and toll upon us as clinicians is similarly taxing; these extraordinary situations invariably 78 engender intense moral distress. Clinician wellness and self-care and paying heed to signs of 79 burnout and compassion fatigue are critical components of remaining sufficiently resilient to 80 continue our necessary work in extraordinary circumstances.¹⁰ 81 COVID-19 will test the very fabric of healthcare delivery, and require otolaryngologists 82 to exercise moral and professional fortitude in ways previously unimaginable. This involves a 83 84 deliberate and careful balance of limiting exposures and maximizing benefit, while still

upholding our intrinsic ethos to serve our patients in need.

86 **References**

87

¹ Parodi SM, Liu VX. From Containment to Mitigation of COVID-19 in the US. JAMA 2020.

² Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. The Lancet 2020.

³ Kucharski AJ, Russell TW, Diamond C, et al. Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 2020.

⁴ Givi B, Schiff BA, Chinn SB et al. Safety recommendations for evaluation and surgery of the head and neck during the COVID19 pandemic. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2020 (In press).

⁵ Lee LM, Spector-Bagdady K, Sakhuja M. Essential Cases in the Development of Public Health Ethics. In: H. Barrett D, W. Ortmann L, Dawson A, Saenz C, Reis A, Bolan G, editors. Public Health Ethics: Cases Spanning the Globe [Internet]. Cham (CH): Springer; 2016. Chapter 2. 2016 Apr 13.

⁶ Interim Infection Prevention and Control Recommendations for Patients with Suspected or Confirmed Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Healthcare Settings. 2020. at https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/infection-control/control-recommendations.html.)

⁷ Vercler CJ. Surgical ethics: surgical virtue and more. Narrat Inq Bioeth. 2015;5(1):45-51.

⁸ Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, et al. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China. The Lancet Oncology 2020;21:335-7.

⁹ Manage Anxiety & Stress 2020. at https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019ncov/prepare/managing-stressanxiety.html?CDC_AA_refVal=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cdc.gov%2Fcoronavirus%2F2019ncov%2Fabout%2Fcoping.html.)

¹⁰ Emergency Responders: Tips for taking care of yourself. 2020. (Accessed 3/17/2020, 2020, at https://emergency.cdc.gov/coping/responders.asp.)