±

1

This manuscript has been accepted for publication in Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery.

Telemedicine and the Interdisciplinary Clinic Model: During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Beyond

- 3
- Lisa Zughni MS, CCC-SLP¹, Amanda I. Gillespie PhD², Jeanne L. Hatcher MD², Adam Rubin
 MD³, John Paul Giliberto MD¹
- 6
- ¹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, University of Washington Medical
 Center; Seattle, WA.
- ⁹ ²Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Emory University; Atlanta, GA.
- ³Lakeshore Ear, Nose, and Throat Center; St. Clair Shores, MI.
- 11
- 12 Short running title: Interdisciplinary Telemedicine
- 13
- 14 Corresponding author:
- 15
- 16 Lisa Zughni, MS, CCC-SLP
- 17 Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery
- 18 1959 NE Pacific St
- 19 Box 356161
- 20 Seattle, WA 98195
- 21 Phone: (206) 598 2307
- 22 Fax: (206) 598 6611
- 23 Email: Ldoyley@uw.edu
- 24
- 25 Key Words: telemedicine, telepractice, interdisciplinary, COVID-19, speech-language
- 26 pathology, laryngology.
- 27

The emergence of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and the subsequent need for 28 29 physical distancing has necessitated a swift change in healthcare delivery. Clinicians face unprecedented challenges to providing optimal patient care. Prior to the COVID-19 outbreak, 30 31 many institutions utilized an interdisciplinary clinic model including both a laryngologist and 32 speech-language pathologist for the evaluation of patients with voice, swallowing, and upper 33 airway disorders. This model has been shown to improve voice therapy attendance.¹ voice therapy completion rates,² voice therapy outcomes,¹ and departmental billing revenue.¹ With in-34 person clinic visits nearly eliminated, it is difficult for patients to obtain timely evaluation and 35 36 management of voice, swallowing and upper airway disorders. In order to improve access, many 37 providers are pursuing the use of individual and interdisciplinary telemedicine to provide 38 individualized, patient-centered care, while also allowing for physical distancing. The purpose of 39 this commentary is to review the current literature regarding telemedicine in larvngology and 40 speech-language pathology as well as the current state of practice for interdisciplinary tele-41 evaluations.

42

Telemedicine for speech-language pathology practice. Multiple studies have shown the benefit 43 44 of telemedicine in providing speech-language pathology services for patients with a variety of disorders, including neurogenic voice disorders,^{3,4} muscle tension dysphonia,⁵ vocal fold 45 nodules,⁶ dysarthria,⁴ dysphagia,^{4,7,8} and post-laryngectomy care.^{4,8} It has also been 46 demonstrated that audio-perceptual evaluation of voice using telemedicine is comparable to in-47 person audio-perceptual evaluation of voice quality.^{9,10} These studies have illustrated feasibility, 48 49 efficacy, and diagnostic accuracy with the use of telemedicine compared to traditional in-person 50 appointments.

51

52	<u>Telemedicine for laryngology practice</u> . A 2018 study by McCool and Davies found that while an
53	average of 62% of otolaryngology visits could be completed via telemedicine, less than 40% of
54	laryngology visits were appropriate for this method of service delivery due to the need for
55	instrumented laryngeal visualization. Other author groups have demonstrated the efficacy of
56	telemedicine for laryngeal diagnostics when the specialist was located in a physically distant
57	location and reviewed images and videos collected by another qualified professional.
58	Specifically, Furukawa et al (1998) showed that the accuracy of laryngologists utilizing video
59	conference paired with still images of the larynx was comparable to in-person appointments. In a
60	proof of concept article by Bryson and colleagues in 2017, it was demonstrated that
61	interdisciplinary evaluation in a conference setting could be completed using teleconference
62	softwarr. ¹¹ All reports of telemedicine in laryngology to date include either synchronous or
63	asynchronous visualization of the larynx as part of the evaluation. However, the effectiveness,
64	safety, or patient satisfaction of laryngology tele-evaluations without laryngeal visualization is
65	yet to be studied. With the need to restrict aerosol-generating procedures to promote patient and
66	provider safety during the COVID 19 pandemic, generalization of these data to the current
67	telemedicine landscape is challenging.

68

69 <u>The interdisciplinary model and telemedicine.</u> The use of telemedicine for real time, synchronous 70 transmission for interactive evaluation and treatment of patients has traditionally occurred 71 between one individual clinician and the patient. However, the inclusion of multiple clinicians 72 and health care professionals is possible with the use of many telemedicine platforms. By using 73 telemedicine for concurrent interdisciplinary appointments, the traditional in-person

This manuscript has been accepted for publication in Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery.

74 interdisciplinary model can be simulated while also respecting physical distancing 75 recommendations. Out of necessity, many institutions, including those of this author group, have 76 proceeded with rapid implementation of interdisciplinary telemedicine clinic models to continue 77 to provide patient care. Each institution should consider specific clinic logistics as well as 78 software and hardware requirements in order to deliver interdisciplinary care via telemedicine. Through the authors' anecdotal experience, several factors are integral to developing an effective 79 80 and efficient telemedicine program. These include the clear delineation of the role of support 81 staff including the scheduling team and medical assistants, creation of dedicated telemedicine 82 appointment blocks, delivery of patient reported outcome measures, and development of an evaluation protocol including both the laryngologist and speech-language pathologist. 83 84 85 The importance of audio-perceptual evaluation of the voice in combination with a detailed 86 history, particularly within the setting of telemedicine, cannot be understated. Similar to seeing a 87 patient in person, the clinicians should be able to use these aspects of the tele-evaluation to 88 determine with reasonable certainty whether the patient's dysphonia is predominantly related to 89 impairment in vibration, closure, or resonance as well as whether a primary neurologic issue or functional dysphonia should be suspected. Furthermore, stimulability testing can provide 90 diagnostic value in addition to improved voice therapy outcomes.^{12,13} Distraction and facilitative 91 92 voice techniques can give insight into organic versus non-organic etiologies, particularly if the 93 patient's voice normalizes at any point during the evaluation. The clinicians must also consider 94 the severity of the dysphonia and determine whether suspicion for malignancy is high enough to 95 merit urgent laryngeal videostroboscopy. Regardless, patients should be advised that without

4

96 direct visualization of the larynx, a definitive diagnosis cannot be obtained. Any worsening or
97 ominous symptoms should lead the clinicians to strongly consider in-office evaluation.

98

99 *Limitations of interdisciplinary tele-evaluations.* The most significant limitation of the use of the 100 telemedicine platform is the lack of laryngeal videostroboscopy, which is the gold standard for 101 laryngology diagnosis. However, the risk of spread from aerosol-generating procedures in the 102 current COVID-19 pandemic is forcing clinicians to weigh the benefits of endoscopy versus the 103 risk of transmission, as well as the need to conserve personal protective equipment. Because of 104 this limitation, the application of telemedicine should be carefully considered. For some patient 105 populations, a tele-evaluation alone may be appropriate for a new patient intake visit including 106 patients with chronic cough or inducible laryngeal obstruction. A tele-evaluation may also be an 107 appropriate model for established patients who have previously undergone larvngeal 108 videostroboscopy. In cases like these, empiric trials of pharmacologic or voice therapy could be 109 initiated before elective stroboscopy is safe and accessible. For others, an interdisciplinary tele-110 evaluation is a way to triage urgent patients who do require in-person appointments, such as 111 those with severe dysphonia, suspicion for malignancy, suspicion of aspiration, or upper airway 112 obstruction.

113

114 <u>*Current barriers to telemedicine.*</u> While the urgency of the COVID-19 pandemic has propelled 115 the use of telemedicine to the forefront, many previous barriers to telemedicine implementation 116 still exist. These barriers include reimbursement policies, state and federal regulations, cyber and 117 HIPAA security, and technology education and utilization, among others. With the widespread 118 use of telemedicine during this time of pandemic, the hope of this author group is that the benefit

This manuscript has been accepted for publication in Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery.

of telemedicine will be apparent to insurance companies, hospital administration and government agencies, and lead to the continued prevalence and use of interdisciplinary telemedicine in the future.

122

123 Telemedicine beyond the pandemic. Although the efficacy of telemedicine with an 124 interdisciplinary model is unknown, this author group hopes that the same advantages of 125 interdisciplinary clinic models on voice therapy attendance, voice therapy completion rates, 126 voice therapy outcomes, and departmental billing revenue may be realized remotely as well. 127 Future studies are needed to determine feasibility, patient satisfaction, and patient outcomes. 128 129 In a post vaccination era, many providers may prefer to return solely to in-person 130 interdisciplinary clinic models to include the use of laryngeal videostroboscopy for more 131 accurate and immediate diagnoses. For clinicians who continue to perform interdisciplinary tele-132 evaluations, there are validated tools that leverage machine learning to accurately diagnose 133 laryngeal lesions from audio recordings which may improve diagnostic clarity and accuracy without laryngeal videostroboscopy.¹⁴ The further development of similar tools and their 134 135 application to telemedicine may broaden the reach and access to quality interdisciplinary voice 136 care in the future. 137

138 <u>Conclusion.</u> The use of an interdisciplinary telemedicine clinic model has emerged as a solution 139 for maintaining individualized, comprehensive, and specialized care for patients while observing 140 appropriate physical distancing protocols in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. As clinicians 141 adapt to daily changes in providing care for patients, the solutions developed in necessity of this

This manuscript has been accepted for publication in Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery.

- 142 crisis may influence the complex and changing landscape of how care is delivered even beyond
- 143 the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 144
- 145

- 147 1. Litts JK, Gartner-Schmidt JL, Clary MS, Gillespie AI. Impact of laryngologist and speech
- pathologist coassessment on outcomes and billing revenue. The Laryngoscope 2015; 125:2139-
- 149 **2142**.
- 150 2. Starmer HM, Liu Z, Akst LM, Gourin C. Attendance in voice therapy: can an interdisciplinary care

151 model have an impact? Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2014; 123:117-123.

- 152 3. Tindall LR, Huebner RA, Stemple JC, Kleinert HL. Videophone-delivered voice therapy: a
- 153 comparative analysis of outcomes to traditional delivery for adults with Parkinson's disease.
- 154 Telemed J E Health 2008; 14:1070-1077.
- 155 4. Weidner K, Lowman J. Telepractice for Adult Speech-Language Pathology Services: A Systematic
- 156 Review. Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups 2020; 5:326-338.
- 157 5. Rangarathnam B, McCullough GH, Pickett H, Zraick RI, Tulunay-Ugur O, McCullough KC.
- 158 Telepractice Versus In-Person Delivery of Voice Therapy for Primary Muscle Tension Dysphonia.
- 159 Am J Speech Lang Pathol 2015; 24:386-399.
- 160 6. Fu S, Theodoros DG, Ward EC. Delivery of Intensive Voice Therapy for Vocal Fold Nodules Via
- 161 Telepractice: A Pilot Feasibility and Efficacy Study. Journal of voice : official journal of the Voice
- 162 Foundation 2015; 29:696-706.
- 163 7. Mashima PA, Brown JE. Remote management of voice and swallowing disorders.
- 164 Otolaryngologic clinics of North America 2011; 44:1305-1316, viii.

165	8.	Burns CL, Ward EC, Hill AJ, Kularatna S, Byrnes J, Kenny LM. Randomized controlled trial of a
166		multisite speech pathology telepractice service providing swallowing and communication
167		intervention to patients with head and neck cancer: Evaluation of service outcomes. Head Neck
168		2017; 39:932-939.
169	9.	Mashima PA, Birkmire-Peters DP, Syms MJ, Holtel MR, Burgess LP, Peters LJ. Telehealth: voice
170		therapy using telecommunications technology. Am J Speech Lang Pathol 2003; 12:432-439.
171	10.	Duffy JR, Werven GW, Aronson AE. Telemedicine and the diagnosis of speech and language
172		disorders. Mayo Clin Proc 1997; 72:1116-1122.

- 173 11. Bryson PC, Benninger MS, Band J, Goetz P, Bowen AJ. Telemedicine in laryngology: Remote
- evaluation of voice disorders-setup and initial experience. The Laryngoscope 2018; 128:941-943.
- 175 12. Gillespie AI, Gartner-Schmidt J. Immediate Effect of Stimulability Assessment on Acoustic,
- Aerodynamic, and Patient-Perceptual Measures of Voice. Journal of voice : official journal of the
 Voice Foundation 2016; 30:507 e509-507 e514.
- 178 13. Dejonckere PH, Lebacq J. Plasticity of Voice Quality. Journal of Voice 2001; 15:251-256.
- 179 14. Fang SH, Tsao Y, Hsiao MJet al. Detection of Pathological Voice Using Cepstrum Vectors: A Deep
- 180 Learning Approach. Journal of voice : official journal of the Voice Foundation 2019; 33:634-641.

181

182

8